

β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

May 22, 2026



XXIII International Seminar on High-Energy Physics

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

Matrix integrals and their expression in radial-angular coordinates today is one of the most thoroughly studied subjects in the modern mathematical physics. **Radial-angular decomposition of matrix derivatives** remains quite obscure topic, though. The goal of the presented work is to clarify this matter. This is the key object to construct β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras.

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

Matrix integrals and their expression in radial-angular coordinates today is one of the most thoroughly studied subjects in the modern mathematical physics. **Radial-angular decomposition of matrix derivatives** remains quite obscure topic, though. The goal of the presented work is to clarify this matter. This is the key object to construct β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras.

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

Matrix integrals and their expression in radial-angular coordinates today is one of the most thoroughly studied subjects in the modern mathematical physics. **Radial-angular decomposition of matrix derivatives** remains quite obscure topic, though. The goal of the presented work is to clarify this matter. This is the key object to construct β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras.

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

Matrix integrals and their expression in radial-angular coordinates today is one of the most thoroughly studied subjects in the modern mathematical physics. **Radial-angular decomposition of matrix derivatives** remains quite obscure topic, though. The goal of the presented work is to clarify this matter. This is the key object to construct β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras.

Matrix integrals appear in

- ▶ description of energy level spacings of heavy nuclei (Wigner 1957; Dyson 1962)
- ▶ energy level statistics of chaotic quantum systems (Berry and Tabor 1977; Bohigas, Giannoni, and Schmit 1984)
- ▶ $2d$ quantum (David 1985; Ambjørn, Durhuus, and Fröhlich 1985; Kazakov, Kostov, and Migdal 1985; Boulatov et al. 1986b; Boulatov et al. 1986a) and topological (Kontsevich 1992) gravities
- ▶ matter content of $c \leq 1$ non-critical string theories (Brézin and Kazakov 1990)
- ▶ amplitudes of the type B topological strings on non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds (Dijkgraaf and Vafa 2002)
- ▶ supersymmetric localization, unique non-perturbative checks of AdS/CFT correspondence (Pestun 2007)
- ▶ ...

Matrix integrals appear in

- ▶ description of energy level spacings of heavy nuclei (Wigner 1957; Dyson 1962)
- ▶ energy level statistics of chaotic quantum systems (Berry and Tabor 1977; Bohigas, Giannoni, and Schmit 1984)
- ▶ $2d$ quantum (David 1985; Ambjørn, Durhuus, and Fröhlich 1985; Kazakov, Kostov, and Migdal 1985; Boulatov et al. 1986b; Boulatov et al. 1986a) and topological (Kontsevich 1992) gravities
- ▶ matter content of $c \leq 1$ non-critical string theories (Brézin and Kazakov 1990)
- ▶ amplitudes of the type B topological strings on non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds (Dijkgraaf and Vafa 2002)
- ▶ supersymmetric localization, unique non-perturbative checks of AdS/CFT correspondence (Pestun 2007)
- ▶ ...

Matrix integrals appear in

- ▶ description of energy level spacings of heavy nuclei (Wigner 1957; Dyson 1962)
- ▶ energy level statistics of chaotic quantum systems (Berry and Tabor 1977; Bohigas, Giannoni, and Schmit 1984)
- ▶ $2d$ quantum (David 1985; Ambjørn, Durhuus, and Fröhlich 1985; Kazakov, Kostov, and Migdal 1985; Boulatov et al. 1986b; Boulatov et al. 1986a) and topological (Kontsevich 1992) gravities
- ▶ matter content of $c \leq 1$ non-critical string theories (Brézin and Kazakov 1990)
- ▶ amplitudes of the type B topological strings on non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds (Dijkgraaf and Vafa 2002)
- ▶ supersymmetric localization, unique non-perturbative checks of AdS/CFT correspondence (Pestun 2007)
- ▶ ...

Matrix integrals appear in

- ▶ description of energy level spacings of heavy nuclei (Wigner 1957; Dyson 1962)
- ▶ energy level statistics of chaotic quantum systems (Berry and Tabor 1977; Bohigas, Giannoni, and Schmit 1984)
- ▶ $2d$ quantum (David 1985; Ambjørn, Durhuus, and Fröhlich 1985; Kazakov, Kostov, and Migdal 1985; Boulatov et al. 1986b; Boulatov et al. 1986a) and topological (Kontsevich 1992) gravities
- ▶ matter content of $c \leq 1$ non-critical string theories (Brézin and Kazakov 1990)
- ▶ amplitudes of the type B topological strings on non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds (Dijkgraaf and Vafa 2002)
- ▶ supersymmetric localization, unique non-perturbative checks of AdS/CFT correspondence (Pestun 2007)
- ▶ ...

Matrix integrals appear in

- ▶ description of energy level spacings of heavy nuclei (Wigner 1957; Dyson 1962)
- ▶ energy level statistics of chaotic quantum systems (Berry and Tabor 1977; Bohigas, Giannoni, and Schmit 1984)
- ▶ $2d$ quantum (David 1985; Ambjørn, Durhuus, and Fröhlich 1985; Kazakov, Kostov, and Migdal 1985; Boulatov et al. 1986b; Boulatov et al. 1986a) and topological (Kontsevich 1992) gravities
- ▶ matter content of $c \leq 1$ non-critical string theories (Brézin and Kazakov 1990)
- ▶ amplitudes of the type B topological strings on non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds (Dijkgraaf and Vafa 2002)
- ▶ supersymmetric localization, unique non-perturbative checks of AdS/CFT correspondence (Pestun 2007)
- ▶ ...

Matrix integrals appear in

- ▶ description of energy level spacings of heavy nuclei (Wigner 1957; Dyson 1962)
- ▶ energy level statistics of chaotic quantum systems (Berry and Tabor 1977; Bohigas, Giannoni, and Schmit 1984)
- ▶ $2d$ quantum (David 1985; Ambjørn, Durhuus, and Fröhlich 1985; Kazakov, Kostov, and Migdal 1985; Boulatov et al. 1986b; Boulatov et al. 1986a) and topological (Kontsevich 1992) gravities
- ▶ matter content of $c \leq 1$ non-critical string theories (Brézin and Kazakov 1990)
- ▶ amplitudes of the type B topological strings on non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds (Dijkgraaf and Vafa 2002)
- ▶ supersymmetric localization, unique non-perturbative checks of AdS/CFT correspondence (Pestun 2007)

▶ ...

Matrix integrals appear in

- ▶ description of energy level spacings of heavy nuclei (Wigner 1957; Dyson 1962)
- ▶ energy level statistics of chaotic quantum systems (Berry and Tabor 1977; Bohigas, Giannoni, and Schmit 1984)
- ▶ $2d$ quantum (David 1985; Ambjørn, Durhuus, and Fröhlich 1985; Kazakov, Kostov, and Migdal 1985; Boulatov et al. 1986b; Boulatov et al. 1986a) and topological (Kontsevich 1992) gravities
- ▶ matter content of $c \leq 1$ non-critical string theories (Brézin and Kazakov 1990)
- ▶ amplitudes of the type B topological strings on non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds (Dijkgraaf and Vafa 2002)
- ▶ supersymmetric localization, unique non-perturbative checks of AdS/CFT correspondence (Pestun 2007)
- ▶ ...

Matrix measure

For matrix integrals $\int f(X)dX$ measure is defined as a product of all its real degrees of freedom:

- ▶ symmetric matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} dX_{ij}, \quad \beta = 1 \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} d\Re X_{ij} d\Im X_{ij}, \quad \beta = 2 \quad (2)$$

- ▶ quaternionic Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{i,j} dX_{ij}^{(0)} dX_{ij}^{(1)} dX_{ij}^{(2)} dX_{ij}^{(3)}, \quad \beta = 4. \quad (3)$$

Matrix measure

For matrix integrals $\int f(X)dX$ measure is defined as a product of all its real degrees of freedom:

- ▶ symmetric matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} dX_{ij}, \quad \beta = 1 \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} d\Re X_{ij} d\Im X_{ij}, \quad \beta = 2 \quad (2)$$

- ▶ quaternionic Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{i,j} dX_{ij}^{(0)} dX_{ij}^{(1)} dX_{ij}^{(2)} dX_{ij}^{(3)}, \quad \beta = 4. \quad (3)$$

Matrix measure

For matrix integrals $\int f(X)dX$ measure is defined as a product of all its real degrees of freedom:

- ▶ symmetric matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} dX_{ij}, \quad \beta = 1 \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} d\Re X_{ij} d\Im X_{ij}, \quad \beta = 2 \quad (2)$$

- ▶ quaternionic Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{i,j} dX_{ij}^{(0)} dX_{ij}^{(1)} dX_{ij}^{(2)} dX_{ij}^{(3)}, \quad \beta = 4. \quad (3)$$

Matrix measure

For matrix integrals $\int f(X)dX$ measure is defined as a product of all its real degrees of freedom:

- ▶ symmetric matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} dX_{ij}, \quad \beta = 1 \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} d\Re X_{ij} d\Im X_{ij}, \quad \beta = 2 \quad (2)$$

- ▶ quaternionic Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{i,j} dX_{ij}^{(0)} dX_{ij}^{(1)} dX_{ij}^{(2)} dX_{ij}^{(3)}, \quad \beta = 4. \quad (3)$$

Matrix measure

For matrix integrals $\int f(X)dX$ measure is defined as a product of all its real degrees of freedom:

- ▶ symmetric matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} dX_{ij}, \quad \beta = 1 \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} d\Re X_{ij} d\Im X_{ij}, \quad \beta = 2 \quad (2)$$

- ▶ quaternionic Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{i,j} dX_{ij}^{(0)} dX_{ij}^{(1)} dX_{ij}^{(2)} dX_{ij}^{(3)}, \quad \beta = 4. \quad (3)$$

Matrix measure

For matrix integrals $\int f(X)dX$ measure is defined as a product of all its real degrees of freedom:

- ▶ symmetric matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} dX_{ij}, \quad \beta = 1 \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} d\Re X_{ij} d\Im X_{ij}, \quad \beta = 2 \quad (2)$$

- ▶ quaternionic Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{i,j} dX_{ij}^{(0)} dX_{ij}^{(1)} dX_{ij}^{(2)} dX_{ij}^{(3)}, \quad \beta = 4. \quad (3)$$

Matrix measure

For matrix integrals $\int f(X)dX$ measure is defined as a product of all its real degrees of freedom:

- ▶ symmetric matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} dX_{ij}, \quad \beta = 1 \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} d\Re X_{ij} d\Im X_{ij}, \quad \beta = 2 \quad (2)$$

- ▶ quaternionic Hermitian matrices

$$dX = \left(\prod_i dX_{ii} \right) \prod_{i,j} dX_{ij}^{(0)} dX_{ij}^{(1)} dX_{ij}^{(2)} dX_{ij}^{(3)}, \quad \beta = 4. \quad (3)$$

Radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

X can be diagonalized

$$X = U \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) U^\dagger \quad (4)$$

where U is orthogonal ($\beta = 1$), unitary ($\beta = 2$), or quaternionic unitary ($\beta = 4$) and \dagger means transposition, Hermitian conjugation and quaternionic Hermitian conjugation, correspondingly. Diagonalization provides one a set of **radial-angular coordinates** on the matrix spaces.

Radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

X can be diagonalized

$$X = U \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) U^\dagger \quad (4)$$

where U is orthogonal ($\beta = 1$), unitary ($\beta = 2$), or quaternionic unitary ($\beta = 4$) and \dagger means transposition, Hermitian conjugation and quaternionic Hermitian conjugation, correspondingly. Diagonalization provides one a set of **radial-angular coordinates** on the matrix spaces.

Radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

X can be diagonalized

$$X = U \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) U^\dagger \quad (4)$$

where U is orthogonal ($\beta = 1$), unitary ($\beta = 2$), or quaternionic unitary ($\beta = 4$) and \dagger means transposition, Hermitian conjugation and quaternionic Hermitian conjugation, correspondingly. Diagonalization provides one a set of radial-angular coordinates on the matrix spaces.

Radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

X can be diagonalized

$$X = U \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) U^\dagger \quad (4)$$

where U is orthogonal ($\beta = 1$), unitary ($\beta = 2$), or quaternionic unitary ($\beta = 4$) and \dagger means transposition, Hermitian conjugation and quaternionic Hermitian conjugation, correspondingly. Diagonalization provides one a set of **radial-angular coordinates** on the matrix spaces.

Matrix integrals in radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

Matrix measure can be easily rewritten in these coordinates given the Jacobian of coordinate change (Dyson 1962)

$$J = \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \quad (5)$$

and reads as

$$dX = \left(\prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \right) \left(\prod_i d\lambda_i \right) dU_{\text{Haar}}. \quad (6)$$

This fact is used **extensively** while evaluating matrix integrals.

Matrix integrals in radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

Matrix measure can be easily rewritten in these coordinates given the Jacobian of coordinate change (Dyson 1962)

$$J = \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \quad (5)$$

and reads as

$$dX = \left(\prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \right) \left(\prod_i d\lambda_i \right) dU_{\text{Haar}}. \quad (6)$$

This fact is used *extensively* while evaluating matrix integrals.

Matrix integrals in radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

Matrix measure can be easily rewritten in these coordinates given the Jacobian of coordinate change (Dyson 1962)

$$J = \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \quad (5)$$

and reads as

$$dX = \left(\prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \right) \left(\prod_i d\lambda_i \right) dU_{\text{Haar}}. \quad (6)$$

This fact is used **extensively** while evaluating matrix integrals.

Matrix derivatives

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates**Matrix derivatives**Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

One can also consider matrix derivatives, whose matrix elements are

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)_{ji} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{ij}}, \quad (7)$$

higher matrix derivatives

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n, \quad (8)$$

where contraction of matrix indices is assumed, and matrix operators

$$\mathcal{O}\left(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right) = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} X^{\alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \dots X^{\alpha_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} X^{\alpha_1}, \quad (9)$$

where sum is taken over weak compositions α .

Matrix derivatives

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

One can also consider matrix derivatives, whose matrix elements are

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)_{ji} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{ij}}, \quad (7)$$

higher matrix derivatives

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n, \quad (8)$$

where contraction of matrix indices is assumed, and matrix operators

$$\mathcal{O}\left(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right) = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} X^{\alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \dots X^{\alpha_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} X^{\alpha_1}, \quad (9)$$

where sum is taken over weak compositions α .

Matrix derivatives

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates**Matrix derivatives**Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

One can also consider matrix derivatives, whose matrix elements are

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)_{ji} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{ij}}, \quad (7)$$

higher matrix derivatives

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n, \quad (8)$$

where contraction of matrix indices is assumed, and matrix operators

$$\mathcal{O}\left(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} X^{\alpha_{\ell(\alpha)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \dots X^{\alpha_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} X^{\alpha_1}, \quad (9)$$

where sum is taken over weak compositions α .

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

- ▶ Little to no work is done about symmetric and quaternionic Hermitian matrices. Contemporary literature is still full of misconceptions on this topic (Srinivasan and Panda 2022).

- ▶ For Hermitian matrices the best we have is the expression for

$$\left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} \right)_{ii}, \quad (10)$$

on the space of functions of radial coordinates, see (Marshakov, Mironov, and Morozov 1992b).

- ▶ A lot is done about higher Calogero-Moser Hamiltonians, their expressions in terms of Dunkl operators with no **direct** relation to matrix derivatives, see e.g. (Mironov and Morozov 2023) and references therein. Serves as an inspiration for this work.

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

- ▶ Little to no work is done about symmetric and quaternionic Hermitian matrices. Contemporary literature is still full of misconceptions on this topic (Srinivasan and Panda 2022).
- ▶ For Hermitian matrices the best we have is the expression for

$$\left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} \right)_{ii}, \quad (10)$$

on the space of functions of radial coordinates, see (Marshakov, Mironov, and Morozov 1992b).

- ▶ A lot is done about higher Calogero-Moser Hamiltonians, their expressions in terms of Dunkl operators with no **direct** relation to matrix derivatives, see e.g. (Mironov and Morozov 2023) and references therein. Serves as an inspiration for this work.

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

- ▶ Little to no work is done about symmetric and quaternionic Hermitian matrices. Contemporary literature is still full of misconceptions on this topic ([Srinivasan and Panda 2022](#)).
- ▶ For Hermitian matrices the best we have is the expression for

$$\left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} \right)_{ii}, \quad (10)$$

on the space of functions of radial coordinates, see ([Marshakov, Mironov, and Morozov 1992b](#)).

- ▶ A lot is done about higher Calogero-Moser Hamiltonians, their expressions in terms of Dunkl operators with no **direct** relation to matrix derivatives, see e.g. ([Mironov and Morozov 2023](#)) and references therein. Serves as an inspiration for this work.

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

The most interesting class of functions of X is of the following form

$$F(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda} C_{k,\lambda} X^k \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \text{tr} X^{\lambda_i}. \quad (11)$$

Their angular-radial decomposition reads as

$$F = U \text{diag}(f_1, \dots, f_N) U^\dagger. \quad (12)$$

We've rewritten its derivative in angular-radial coordinates

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} F = U \text{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \lambda_i} + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{f_i - f_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right) U^\dagger. \quad (13)$$

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

The most interesting class of functions of X is of the following form

$$F(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda} C_{k,\lambda} X^k \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \text{tr} X^{\lambda_i}. \quad (11)$$

Their angular-radial decomposition reads as

$$F = U \text{diag}(f_1, \dots, f_N) U^\dagger. \quad (12)$$

We've rewritten its derivative in angular-radial coordinates

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} F = U \text{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \lambda_i} + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{f_i - f_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right) U^\dagger. \quad (13)$$

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

Yaroslav Drachov

The most interesting class of functions of X is of the following form

$$F(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda} C_{k,\lambda} X^k \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \text{tr} X^{\lambda_i}. \quad (11)$$

Their angular-radial decomposition reads as

$$F = U \text{diag}(f_1, \dots, f_N) U^\dagger. \quad (12)$$

We've rewritten its derivative in angular-radial coordinates

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} F = U \text{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \lambda_i} + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{f_i - f_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right) U^\dagger. \quad (13)$$

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

Repeated application of the proposed rule allows one to prove on the space of symmetric (in λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$) functions

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} = U \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda_i^n} \right) U^\dagger, \quad (14)$$

where $\partial/\partial \lambda_i$ is the Dunkl operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (1 - (ij)) \quad (15)$$

and (ij) is the operator of transposition of λ_i with λ_j . Even more general statement is shown to be true

$$\mathcal{O} \left(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) = U \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\mathcal{O} \left(\lambda_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) \right) U^\dagger. \quad (16)$$

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

Repeated application of the proposed rule allows one to prove on the space of symmetric (in λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$) functions

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} = U \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda_i^n} \right) U^\dagger, \quad (14)$$

where $\mathfrak{d}/\mathfrak{d}\lambda_i$ is the Dunkl operator

$$\frac{\mathfrak{d}}{\mathfrak{d}\lambda_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (1 - (ij)) \quad (15)$$

and (ij) is the operator of transposition of λ_i with λ_j . Even more general statement is shown to be true

$$\mathcal{O} \left(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) = U \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\mathcal{O} \left(\lambda_i, \frac{\mathfrak{d}}{\mathfrak{d}\lambda_i} \right) \right) U^\dagger. \quad (16)$$

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

Repeated application of the proposed rule allows one to prove on the space of symmetric (in λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$) functions

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} = U \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda_i^n} \right) U^\dagger, \quad (14)$$

where $\mathfrak{d}/\mathfrak{d}\lambda_i$ is the Dunkl operator

$$\frac{\mathfrak{d}}{\mathfrak{d}\lambda_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (1 - (ij)) \quad (15)$$

and (ij) is the operator of transposition of λ_i with λ_j . Even more general statement is shown to be true

$$\mathcal{O} \left(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) = U \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\mathcal{O} \left(\lambda_i, \frac{\mathfrak{d}}{\mathfrak{d}\lambda_i} \right) \right) U^\dagger. \quad (16)$$

Matrix derivatives in radial-angular coordinates

Repeated application of the proposed rule allows one to prove on the space of symmetric (in λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$) functions

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} = U \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda_i^n} \right) U^\dagger, \quad (14)$$

where $\partial/\partial \lambda_i$ is the Dunkl operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (1 - (ij)) \quad (15)$$

and (ij) is the operator of transposition of λ_i with λ_j . Even more general statement is shown to be true

$$\mathcal{O} \left(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) = U \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\mathcal{O} \left(\lambda_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) \right) U^\dagger. \quad (16)$$

Applications

Matrix integrals satisfy matrix differential equations. Hermite polynomials are the well-known example. They are given as

$$H_n(y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X + y) dX. \quad (17)$$

They satisfy stationary Schrödinger equation

$$H_n''(x) - xH_n'(x) + nH_n(x) = 0. \quad (18)$$

This is matrix differential equation, though matrix is 1×1 now. The generalization to the $N \times N$ case are Gaussian Hermitian matrix models

$$Z(Y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X \otimes 1 + Y \otimes 1) dX. \quad (19)$$

It satisfies matrix differential equation in $N \times N$ matrix X

$$Z''(X) - XZ'(X) + nZ(X) = 0. \quad (20)$$

It's simpler than (Kaneko 1993; Baker and Forrester 1997).

Applications

Matrix integrals satisfy matrix differential equations. Hermite polynomials are the well-known example. They are given as

$$H_n(y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X + y) dX. \quad (17)$$

They satisfy stationary Schrödinger equation

$$H_n''(x) - xH_n'(x) + nH_n(x) = 0. \quad (18)$$

This is matrix differential equation, though matrix is 1×1 now. The generalization to the $N \times N$ case are Gaussian Hermitian matrix models

$$Z(Y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X \otimes 1 + Y \otimes 1) dX. \quad (19)$$

It satisfies matrix differential equation in $N \times N$ matrix X

$$Z''(X) - XZ'(X) + nZ(X) = 0. \quad (20)$$

It's simpler than (Kaneko 1993; Baker and Forrester 1997).

Applications

Matrix integrals satisfy matrix differential equations. Hermite polynomials are the well-known example. They are given as

$$H_n(y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X + y) dX. \quad (17)$$

They satisfy stationary Schrödinger equation

$$H_n''(x) - xH_n'(x) + nH_n(x) = 0. \quad (18)$$

This is matrix differential equation, though matrix is 1×1 now. The generalization to the $N \times N$ case are Gaussian Hermitian matrix models

$$Z(Y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X \otimes 1 + Y \otimes 1) dX. \quad (19)$$

It satisfies matrix differential equation in $N \times N$ matrix X

$$Z''(X) - XZ'(X) + nZ(X) = 0. \quad (20)$$

It's simpler than (Kaneko 1993; Baker and Forrester 1997).

Applications

Matrix integrals satisfy matrix differential equations. Hermite polynomials are the well-known example. They are given as

$$H_n(y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X + y) dX. \quad (17)$$

They satisfy stationary Schrödinger equation

$$H_n''(x) - xH_n'(x) + nH_n(x) = 0. \quad (18)$$

This is matrix differential equation, though matrix is 1×1 now. The generalization to the $N \times N$ case are Gaussian Hermitian matrix models

$$Z(Y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X \otimes 1 + Y \otimes 1) dX. \quad (19)$$

It satisfies matrix differential equation in $N \times N$ matrix X

$$Z''(X) - XZ'(X) + nZ(X) = 0. \quad (20)$$

It's simpler than (Kaneko 1993; Baker and Forrester 1997).

Applications

Matrix integrals satisfy matrix differential equations. Hermite polynomials are the well-known example. They are given as

$$H_n(y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X + y) dX. \quad (17)$$

They satisfy stationary Schrödinger equation

$$H_n''(x) - xH_n'(x) + nH_n(x) = 0. \quad (18)$$

This is matrix differential equation, though matrix is 1×1 now. The generalization to the $N \times N$ case are Gaussian Hermitian matrix models

$$Z(Y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X \otimes 1 + Y \otimes 1) dX. \quad (19)$$

It satisfies matrix differential equation in $N \times N$ matrix X

$$Z''(X) - XZ'(X) + nZ(X) = 0. \quad (20)$$

It's simpler than (Kaneko 1993; Baker and Forrester 1997).

Applications

Matrix integrals satisfy matrix differential equations. Hermite polynomials are the well-known example. They are given as

$$H_n(y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X + y) dX. \quad (17)$$

They satisfy stationary Schrödinger equation

$$H_n''(x) - xH_n'(x) + nH_n(x) = 0. \quad (18)$$

This is matrix differential equation, though matrix is 1×1 now. The generalization to the $N \times N$ case are Gaussian Hermitian matrix models

$$Z(Y) = \int_{n \times n} e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det(X \otimes 1 + Y \otimes 1) dX. \quad (19)$$

It satisfies matrix differential equation in $N \times N$ matrix X

$$Z''(X) - XZ'(X) + nZ(X) = 0. \quad (20)$$

It's simpler than (Kaneko 1993; Baker and Forrester 1997).

Applications

One can easily check that solutions of the 1×1 matrix equation are

$$H_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \cdot 1 = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) x^n. \quad (21)$$

In the same fashion it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X) &= e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} e^{\text{tr} X^2/2} \\ &= \det \left(X - \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n \cdot 1 \\ &= \exp \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2}\right) \det^n X. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The last line is nothing but the known W -representation (Morozov and Shakirov 2009). Rodrigues' formulas for Gaussian Hermitian matrix model in this form weren't presented in the literature, though in terms of Dunkl operators they can be found in (Ujino and Wadati 1996; Rösler 2002).

Applications

One can easily check that solutions of the 1×1 matrix equation are

$$H_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \cdot 1 = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) x^n. \quad (21)$$

In the same fashion it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X) &= e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} e^{\text{tr} X^2/2} \\ &= \det \left(X - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right)^n \cdot 1 \\ &= \exp \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2} \right) \det^n X. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The last line is nothing but the known W -representation (Morozov and Shakirov 2009). Rodrigues' formulas for Gaussian Hermitian matrix model in this form weren't presented in the literature, though in terms of Dunkl operators they can be found in (Ujino and Wadati 1996; Rösler 2002).

Applications

One can easily check that solutions of the 1×1 matrix equation are

$$H_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \cdot 1 = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) x^n. \quad (21)$$

In the same fashion it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X) &= e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} e^{\text{tr} X^2/2} \\ &= \det \left(X - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right)^n \cdot 1 \\ &= \exp \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2} \right) \det^n X. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The last line is nothing but the known W -representation (Morozov and Shakirov 2009). Rodrigues' formulas for Gaussian Hermitian matrix model in this form weren't presented in the literature, though in terms of Dunkl operators they can be found in (Ujino and Wadati 1996; Rösler 2002).

Applications

One can easily check that solutions of the 1×1 matrix equation are

$$H_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \cdot 1 = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) x^n. \quad (21)$$

In the same fashion it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X) &= e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} e^{\text{tr} X^2/2} \\ &= \det \left(X - \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n \cdot 1 \\ &= \exp \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2}\right) \det^n X. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The last line is nothing but the known W -representation (Morozov and Shakirov 2009). Rodrigues' formulas for Gaussian Hermitian matrix model in this form weren't presented in the literature, though in terms of Dunkl operators they can be found in (Ujino and Wadati 1996; Rösler 2002).

Applications

One can easily check that solutions of the 1×1 matrix equation are

$$H_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \cdot 1 = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) x^n. \quad (21)$$

In the same fashion it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X) &= e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} e^{\text{tr} X^2/2} \\ &= \det \left(X - \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n \cdot 1 \\ &= \exp \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2}\right) \det^n X. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The last line is nothing but the known W -representation (Morozov and Shakirov 2009). Rodrigues' formulas for Gaussian Hermitian matrix model in this form weren't presented in the literature, though in terms of Dunkl operators they can be found in (Ujino and Wadati 1996; Rösler 2002).

Applications

One can easily check that solutions of the 1×1 matrix equation are

$$H_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \cdot 1 = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) x^n. \quad (21)$$

In the same fashion it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X) &= e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} e^{\text{tr} X^2/2} \\ &= \det \left(X - \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n \cdot 1 \\ &= \exp \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2}\right) \det^n X. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The last line is nothing but the known W -representation (Morozov and Shakirov 2009). Rodrigues' formulas for Gaussian Hermitian matrix model in this form weren't presented in the literature, though in terms of Dunkl operators they can be found in (Ujino and Wadati 1996; Rösler 2002).

Applications

One can easily check that solutions of the 1×1 matrix equation are

$$H_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \cdot 1 = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) x^n. \quad (21)$$

In the same fashion it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X) &= e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} e^{\text{tr} X^2/2} \\ &= \det \left(X - \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n \cdot 1 \\ &= \exp \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2}\right) \det^n X. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The last line is nothing but the known W -representation ([Morozov and Shakirov 2009](#)). Rodrigues' formulas for Gaussian Hermitian matrix model in this form weren't presented in the literature, though in terms of Dunkl operators they can be found in ([Ujino and Wadati 1996](#); [Rösler 2002](#)).

Applications

One can easily check that solutions of the 1×1 matrix equation are

$$H_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \cdot 1 = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) x^n. \quad (21)$$

In the same fashion it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X) &= e^{-\text{tr} X^2/2} \det \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} e^{\text{tr} X^2/2} \\ &= \det \left(X - \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^n \cdot 1 \\ &= \exp \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2}\right) \det^n X. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The last line is nothing but the known W -representation ([Morozov and Shakirov 2009](#)). Rodrigues' formulas for Gaussian Hermitian matrix model in this form weren't presented in the literature, though in terms of Dunkl operators they can be found in ([Ujino and Wadati 1996](#); [Rösler 2002](#)).

\widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

 \widetilde{W} -operators are defined as

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - \frac{1}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0}\right)^s Z(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 1-s} X^{-k-s} \widetilde{W}_s^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}) Z(\mathbf{t}), \quad t_k = -\frac{\text{tr} X^k}{k} \quad (23)$$

They close in algebra (Marshakov, Mironov, and Morozov 1992a) in the same fashion as W -operators do. Moreover,

$$W^{(1)} = \widetilde{W}^{(1)}, \quad W^{(2)} = \widetilde{W}^{(2)}, \quad W^{(3)} \neq \widetilde{W}^{(3)}, \dots \quad (24)$$

Hence the name. Any $\widetilde{W}^{(n)}$ operator can be obtained by a recursion relation

$$\widetilde{W}_k^{(n+1)} = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \widetilde{W}_{k-m}^{(n)} + \sum_{m \geq 0} m t_m \widetilde{W}_{k+m}^{(n)}. \quad (25)$$

For $W^{(n)}$ operators no such relation is known yet. It turns out that $\widetilde{W}^{(s)}(z) = \ddagger(i\partial\varphi)^s \ddagger / s$, where the new normal ordering $\ddagger \dots \ddagger$ implies that the terms with “wrong” order of current modes should be just thrown out.

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

\widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

 \widetilde{W} -operators are defined as

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - \frac{1}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \right)^s Z(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 1-s} X^{-k-s} \widetilde{W}_s^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}) Z(\mathbf{t}), \quad t_k = -\frac{\text{tr} X^k}{k} \quad (23)$$

They close in algebra (Marshakov, Mironov, and Morozov 1992a) in the same fashion as W -operators do. Moreover,

$$W^{(1)} = \widetilde{W}^{(1)}, \quad W^{(2)} = \widetilde{W}^{(2)}, \quad W^{(3)} \neq \widetilde{W}^{(3)}, \dots \quad (24)$$

Hence the name. Any $\widetilde{W}^{(n)}$ operator can be obtained by a recursion relation

$$\widetilde{W}_k^{(n+1)} = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \widetilde{W}_{k-m}^{(n)} + \sum_{m \geq 0} m t_m \widetilde{W}_{k+m}^{(n)}. \quad (25)$$

For $W^{(n)}$ operators no such relation is known yet. It turns out that $\widetilde{W}^{(s)}(z) = \ddagger(i\partial\varphi)^s \ddagger / s$, where the new normal ordering $\ddagger \dots \ddagger$ implies that the terms with “wrong” order of current modes should be just thrown out.

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

\widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

 \widetilde{W} -operators are defined as

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - \frac{1}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \right)^s Z(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 1-s} X^{-k-s} \widetilde{W}_s^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}) Z(\mathbf{t}), \quad t_k = -\frac{\text{tr} X^k}{k} \quad (23)$$

They close in algebra (Marshakov, Mironov, and Morozov 1992a) in the same fashion as W -operators do. Moreover,

$$W^{(1)} = \widetilde{W}^{(1)}, \quad W^{(2)} = \widetilde{W}^{(2)}, \quad W^{(3)} \neq \widetilde{W}^{(3)}, \dots \quad (24)$$

Hence the name. Any $\widetilde{W}^{(n)}$ operator can be obtained by a recursion relation

$$\widetilde{W}_k^{(n+1)} = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \widetilde{W}_{k-m}^{(n)} + \sum_{m \geq 0} m t_m \widetilde{W}_{k+m}^{(n)}. \quad (25)$$

For $W^{(n)}$ operators no such relation is known yet. It turns out that $\widetilde{W}^{(s)}(z) = \ddagger(i\partial\varphi)^s \ddagger / s$, where the new normal ordering $\ddagger \dots \ddagger$ implies that the terms with “wrong” order of current modes should be just thrown out.

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

\widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

 \widetilde{W} -operators are defined as

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - \frac{1}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \right)^s Z(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 1-s} X^{-k-s} \widetilde{W}_s^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}) Z(\mathbf{t}), \quad t_k = -\frac{\text{tr} X^k}{k} \quad (23)$$

They close in algebra (Marshakov, Mironov, and Morozov 1992a) in the same fashion as W -operators do. Moreover,

$$W^{(1)} = \widetilde{W}^{(1)}, \quad W^{(2)} = \widetilde{W}^{(2)}, \quad W^{(3)} \neq \widetilde{W}^{(3)}, \dots \quad (24)$$

Hence the name. Any $\widetilde{W}^{(n)}$ operator can be obtained by a recursion relation

$$\widetilde{W}_k^{(n+1)} = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \widetilde{W}_{k-m}^{(n)} + \sum_{m \geq 0} m t_m \widetilde{W}_{k+m}^{(n)}. \quad (25)$$

For $W^{(n)}$ operators no such relation is known yet. It turns out that $\widetilde{W}^{(s)}(z) = \ddagger(i\partial\varphi)^s \ddagger / s$, where the new normal ordering $\ddagger \dots \ddagger$ implies that the terms with “wrong” order of current modes should be just thrown out.

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

\widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

 \widetilde{W} -operators are defined as

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - \frac{1}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \right)^s Z(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 1-s} X^{-k-s} \widetilde{W}_s^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}) Z(\mathbf{t}), \quad t_k = -\frac{\text{tr } X^k}{k} \quad (23)$$

They close in algebra (Marshakov, Mironov, and Morozov 1992a) in the same fashion as W -operators do. Moreover,

$$W^{(1)} = \widetilde{W}^{(1)}, \quad W^{(2)} = \widetilde{W}^{(2)}, \quad W^{(3)} \neq \widetilde{W}^{(3)}, \dots \quad (24)$$

Hence the name. Any $\widetilde{W}^{(n)}$ operator can be obtained by a recursion relation

$$\widetilde{W}_k^{(n+1)} = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \widetilde{W}_{k-m}^{(n)} + \sum_{m \geq 0} m t_m \widetilde{W}_{k+m}^{(n)}. \quad (25)$$

For $W^{(n)}$ operators no such relation is known yet. It turns out that $\widetilde{W}^{(s)}(z) = \ddagger(i\partial\varphi)^s \ddagger / s$, where the new normal ordering $\ddagger \dots \ddagger$ implies that the terms with “wrong” order of current modes should be just thrown out.

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

The following definition was shown to produce \widetilde{W} -operators for Ward identities of β -deformed two-matrix models

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \right)^s Z(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 1-s} \lambda_i^{-k-s} \widetilde{W}_s^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}) Z(\mathbf{t}), \quad (26)$$

where $t_k = \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i^{-k} / k$, $k \geq 1$, \widetilde{W} recursion reads

$$\widetilde{W}_k^{(n+1)} = \beta \sum_{m \geq 0} m t_m \widetilde{W}_{k+m}^{(n)} + \sum_{m=1}^{k+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \widetilde{W}_{k-m}^{(n)} + (1 - \beta)(k + n + 1) \widetilde{W}_k^{(n)}. \quad (27)$$

β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

The following definition was shown to produce \widetilde{W} -operators for Ward identities of β -deformed two-matrix models

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \right)^s Z(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 1-s} \lambda_i^{-k-s} \widetilde{W}_s^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}) Z(\mathbf{t}), \quad (26)$$

where $t_k = \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i^{-k} / k$, $k \geq 1$, \widetilde{W} recursion reads

$$\widetilde{W}_k^{(n+1)} = \beta \sum_{m \geq 0} m t_m \widetilde{W}_{k+m}^{(n)} + \sum_{m=1}^{k+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \widetilde{W}_{k-m}^{(n)} + (1 - \beta)(k + n + 1) \widetilde{W}_k^{(n)}. \quad (27)$$

β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

The following definition was shown to produce \widetilde{W} -operators for Ward identities of β -deformed two-matrix models

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \right)^s Z(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 1-s} \lambda_i^{-k-s} \widetilde{W}_s^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}) Z(\mathbf{t}), \quad (26)$$

where $t_k = \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i^{-k} / k$, $k \geq 1$, \widetilde{W} recursion reads

$$\widetilde{W}_k^{(n+1)} = \beta \sum_{m \geq 0} m t_m \widetilde{W}_{k+m}^{(n)} + \sum_{m=1}^{k+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \widetilde{W}_{k-m}^{(n)} + (1 - \beta)(k + n + 1) \widetilde{W}_k^{(n)}. \quad (27)$$

The powerful technique of (β -deformed) matrix derivatives diagonalization was developed and applied to several problems, including description of β -deformed \widetilde{W} -algebras. Now we have cute and handy formulae like

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} = U \operatorname{diag} \left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda_1^n}, \dots, \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda_N^n} \right) U^\dagger. \quad (28)$$

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References



Ambjørn, J., B. Durhuus, and J. Fröhlich (1985). “Diseases of Triangulated Random Surface Models, and Possible Cures”. In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 257, pp. 433–449. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(85)90356-6.



Baker, T.H. and P.J. Forrester (1997). “The Calogero-Sutherland Model and Generalized Classical Polynomials”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 188.1, pp. 175–216. DOI: 10.1007/s002200050161. arXiv: solv-int/9608004 [nlin.SI].



Berry, Michael Victor and M. Tabor (1977). “Level Clustering in the Regular Spectrum”. In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 356.1686, pp. 375–394. DOI: 10.1098/rspa.1977.0140.



Bohigas, O., M. J. Giannoni, and C. Schmit (1984). “Characterization of Chaotic Quantum Spectra and Universality of Level Fluctuation Laws”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 52.1, pp. 1–4. DOI: 10.1103/physrevlett.52.1.

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References



Boulatov, D.V. et al. (1986a). “Analytical and Numerical Study of a Model of Dynamically Triangulated Random Surfaces”. In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 275.4, pp. 641–686. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(86)90578-x.



— (1986b). “Possible Types of Critical Behaviour and the Mean Size of Dynamically Triangulated Random Surfaces”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 174.1, pp. 87–93. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(86)91134-2.



Brézin, E. and V.A. Kazakov (1990). “Exactly Solvable Field Theories of Closed Strings”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 236.2, pp. 144–150. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(90)90818-q.



David, F. (1985). “Planar Diagrams, Two-Dimensional Lattice Gravity and Surface Models”. In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 257.nil, pp. 45–58. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(85)90335-9.

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References



Dijkgraaf, Robbert and Cumrun Vafa (2002). “Matrix Models, Topological Strings, and Supersymmetric Gauge Theories”. In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 644.1-2, pp. 3–20. DOI: 10.1016/s0550-3213(02)00766-6. arXiv: hep-th/0206255.



Drachov, Yaroslav (2024). “Generalized \widetilde{W} Algebras”. In: *Eur. Phys. J. C* 84.10, p. 1078. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-13382-5. arXiv: 2406.13624 [hep-th].



Dyson, Freeman J. (1962). “Statistical Theory of the Energy Levels of Complex Systems. I”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 3.1, pp. 140–156. DOI: 10.1063/1.1703773.



Kaneko, Jyoichi (1993). “Selberg Integrals and Hypergeometric Functions Associated With Jack Polynomials”. In: *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 24.4, pp. 1086–1110. DOI: 10.1137/0524064.

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives
in radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References



Kazakov, V.A., I.K. Kostov, and A.A. Migdal (1985). “Critical Properties of Randomly Triangulated Planar Random Surfaces”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 157.4, pp. 295–300. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(85)90669-0.



Kontsevich, Maxim (1992). “Intersection Theory on the Moduli Space of Curves and the Matrix Airy Function”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 147.1, pp. 1–23. DOI: 10.1007/bf02099526.



Marshakov, A., A. Mironov, and A. Morozov (1992a). “From Virasoro Constraints in Kontsevich’s Model To \mathcal{W} -Constraints in Two-Matrix Models”. In: *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 07.15, pp. 1345–1359. DOI: 10.1142/s0217732392001014. arXiv: hep-th/9201010.



— (1992b). “On the Equivalence of Topological and Quantum 2d Gravity”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 274.3-4, pp. 280–288. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(92)91987-k. arXiv: hep-th/9201011.

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives


Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References

-  Mironov, A. and A. Morozov (2023). “Many-Body Integrable Systems Implied By WLZZ Models”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 842, p. 137964. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137964. arXiv: 2303.05273 [hep-th].
-  Morozov, A. and Sh. Shakirov (2009). “Generation of Matrix Models By \widehat{W} -operators”. In: *J. High Energy Phys.* 2009.04, pp. 064–064. DOI: 10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/064. arXiv: 0902.2627 [hep-th].
-  Pestun, Vasily (2007). “Localization of Gauge Theory on a Four-Sphere and Supersymmetric Wilson Loops”. In: DOI: 10.1007/s00220-012-1485-0. arXiv: 0712.2824 [hep-th].
-  Rösler, Margit (2002). “Dunkl Operators: Theory and Applications”. In: arXiv: math/0210366 [math.CA].

Yaroslav Drachov

Abstract

Matrix integrals

Matrix measure

Radial-angular
coordinatesMatrix integrals in
radial-angular
coordinates

Matrix derivatives

Matrix derivatives in
radial-angular
coordinates

Applications

 \widetilde{W} -algebras β -deformed
 \widetilde{W} -algebras

Conclusions

References



Srinivasan, Shriram and Nishant Panda (2022). “What Is the Gradient of a Scalar Function of a Symmetric Matrix?” In: *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 54.3, pp. 907–919. DOI: 10.1007/s13226-022-00313-x. arXiv: 1911.06491 [math.NA].



Ujino, Hideaki and Miki Wadati (1996). “Rodrigues Formula for Hi-Jack Symmetric Polynomials Associated With the Quantum Calogero Model”. In: *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* 65.8, pp. 2423–2439. DOI: 10.1143/jpsj.65.2423.



Wigner, E.P. (1957). “Conference on Neutron Physics by Time-of-Flight”. In: *Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report ORNL-2309*, p. 59.