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## Setup

We consider the matrix Shrodinger equation on the line

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial_{z} g_{i j}(z) \partial_{z}+V_{i j}(z ; \lambda)\right] u_{j}(z)=0, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i, j \in\{1, \ldots, N\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\quad V_{i j}(z ; \lambda)=V_{i j}(z)-\lambda g_{i j}(z)$, and $\lambda$ is an auxiliary parameter.
$g(z)$ and $V(z)$ are real and symmetric. $g(z)$ is positive definite and $g_{i j}(z)$ are once piecewise continuously differentiable functions. $V_{i j}(z)$ are piecewise continuous functions.

We also assume that there exists $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\left.g_{i j}(z)\right|_{z>L}=g_{i j}^{+}, & \left.V_{i j}(z)\right|_{z>L}=V_{i j}^{+}  \tag{2}\\ \left.g_{i j}(z)\right|_{z<-L}=g_{i j}^{-}, & \left.V_{i j}(z)\right|_{z<-L}=V_{i j}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

## Historical review

- Single channel scattering problem for one-dimensional Shrodinger equation on the line was solved by Fadeev (1964) [1,2]
- The proof of unitarity of the $S$-matrix in the presence of closed scattering channels on semi-axis is given by Newton (1982) [3]
- Some scattering and analytical properties for two-channel Hamiltonians were revealed by Melgaard (2001) [4,5]
- Multichannel scattering problem on the line for the one-dimensional Shrodinger equation on the line was investigated mostly by Aktosun (2001) [6-9], however the unitarity was not proved.

To our knowledge, the description of properties of the S-matrix, of the Jost solutions, and of the bound states in the general case of multichannel scattering on a line with different thresholds at both left and right infinities is absent in the literature.

## Jost solutions

By definition, the Jost solutions to Eq. (1) have the asymptotics

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{ \pm}^{+}\right)_{i s}(z ; \lambda) \underset{z \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow}\left(f_{+}\right)_{i s^{\prime}}\left(e^{ \pm i K_{+} z}\right)_{s^{\prime} s}, \quad\left(F_{ \pm}^{-}\right)_{i s}(z ; \lambda) \underset{z \rightarrow-\infty}{\longrightarrow}\left(f_{-}\right)_{i s^{\prime}}\left(e^{ \pm i K_{-} z}\right)_{s^{\prime} s} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad\left(K_{ \pm}\right)_{s s^{\prime}}=\delta_{s s^{\prime}} \sqrt{\Lambda_{s}^{ \pm}-\lambda}, \quad g_{i j}^{ \pm} f_{j s}^{ \pm} \Lambda_{s}^{ \pm}=V_{i j}^{ \pm} f_{j s}^{ \pm}, \quad\left(f^{ \pm}\right)^{T} g^{ \pm} f^{ \pm}=1$,
The Jost solutions $F_{ \pm}^{+}$and $F_{ \pm}^{-}$constitute bases in the space of solutions of Eq. (1). Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}^{+}=F_{+}^{-} \Phi_{+}+F_{-}^{-} \Psi_{+}  \tag{5}\\
& F_{-}^{+}=F_{+}^{-} \Psi_{-}+F_{-}^{-} \Phi_{-}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear, that the Wronskian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega[\varphi, \psi]:=\varphi^{T}(z) g(z) \partial_{z} \psi(z)-\partial_{z} \varphi^{T}(z) g(z) \psi(z) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of two solutions $\varphi(z)$, and $\psi(z)$, of Eq. (1) is independent of $z$ and defines a skewsymmetric scalar product on the space of solutions of Eq. (1). The Wronskian generates identities in space of solutions of Eq. (1).

## Main identities

Let us introduce the transmission matrices $t_{(1,2)}$ and the reflection matrices $r_{(1,2)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{+}^{+} t_{(1)}=F_{+}^{-}+F_{-}^{-} r_{(1)}, \quad F_{-}^{-} t_{(2)}=F_{-}^{+}+F_{+}^{+} r_{(2)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the $S$-matrix as

$$
S:=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
t_{(1)} & r_{(2)}  \tag{8}\\
r_{(1)} & t_{(2)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then the $S$-matrix possesses the symmetries

$$
\bar{\Phi}_{ \pm}:=\Phi_{\mp}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & K_{-} \\
K_{+} & 0
\end{array}\right] S=S^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & K_{+} \\
K_{-} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & K_{-} \\
K_{+} & 0
\end{array}\right] \bar{S}=\bar{S}^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & K_{+} \\
K_{-} & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
\bar{S}^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{+} & 0 \\
0 & K_{-}
\end{array}\right] S=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{-} & 0 \\
0 & K_{+}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\bar{\Psi}_{ \pm}:=\Psi_{\mp} .
$$

## The case when all scattering channels are open

Theorem 1. If $\lambda$ belongs to none of the cuts of the functions $\left(K_{ \pm}\right)_{s}, s \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$, i.e., when all the scattering channels are open, the $S$-matrix is unitary

$$
S^{\dagger}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{+} & 0  \tag{10}\\
0 & K_{-}
\end{array}\right] S=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{-} & 0 \\
0 & K_{+}
\end{array}\right],
$$

Remark. Introducing the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Phi}_{ \pm}:=K_{-}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_{ \pm} K_{+}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{ \pm}:=K_{-}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_{ \pm} K_{+}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can reduce (9) to the standard form $\tilde{S}^{\dagger} \tilde{S}=1$.

Proposition 1. If all scattering channels are open, there are no bound states.

## The case with closed scattering channels



We split the relations (7) into blocks with respect to the indices $s, s^{\prime}$ in accordance with splitting into open and closed channels,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(F_{+}^{+}\right)_{o} t_{(1) o o}+\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)_{c} t_{(1) c o}=\left(F_{+}^{-}\right)_{o}+\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)_{o} r_{(1) o o}+\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)_{c} r_{(1) c o} \\
& \left(F_{+}^{+}\right)_{o} t_{(1) o c}+\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)_{c} t_{(1) c c}=\left(F_{+}^{-}\right)_{c}+\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)_{o} r_{(1) o c}+\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)_{c} r_{(1) c c},  \tag{12}\\
& \left(F_{-}^{-}\right)_{o} t_{(2) o o}+\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)_{c} t_{(2) c o}=\left(F_{-}^{+}\right)_{o}+\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)_{o} r_{(2) o o}+\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)_{c} r_{(2) c o} \\
& \left(F_{-}^{-}\right)_{o} t_{(2) o c}+\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)_{c} t_{(2) c c}=\left(F_{-}^{+}\right)_{c}+\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)_{o} r_{(2) o c}+\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)_{c} r_{(2) c c} .
\end{align*}
$$

Where, for example,

$$
t_{(1)}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
t_{(1) o o} & t_{(1) o c}  \tag{13}\\
t_{(1) c o} & t_{(1) c c}
\end{array}\right], \quad F_{ \pm}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(F_{ \pm}^{+}\right)_{o} & \left(F_{ \pm}^{+}\right)_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Identities in the subspace of open channels

Theorem 2. The $S$-matrix in the subspace of open channels is unitary:

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{(2) o o}^{\dagger}\left(K_{-}\right)_{0} r_{(1) o o} M+r_{(2) o o}^{\dagger}\left(K_{+}\right)_{0} t_{(1) o o}=0, \\
& t_{(1) o o}^{\dagger}\left(K_{+}\right)_{0} t_{(1) o o}+r_{(1) o o}^{\dagger}\left(K_{-}\right)_{0} r_{(1) o o}=\left(K_{-}\right)_{0} . \\
& r_{(1) o o}^{\dagger}\left(K_{-}\right)_{0} t_{(2) o o}+t_{(1) o o}^{\dagger}\left(K_{+}\right)_{0} r_{(2) o o}=0,  \tag{14}\\
& t_{(2) o o}^{\dagger}\left(K_{-}\right)_{0} t_{(2) o o}+r_{(2) o o}^{\dagger}\left(K_{+}\right)_{0} r_{(2) o o}=1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Where $M:=t_{(1) o o}^{\mathrm{V}} t_{(1) o o}=t_{(2) o o} t_{(2) o o}^{\mathrm{V}}$, and $A^{\mathrm{v}}$ - pseudo inverse matrix.

Theorem 3. The following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \Phi_{+}(\lambda)=0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of bound states of Eq. (1).

## An electrodynamic example: helical wired metamaterial

The kernel of the non-local effective permittivity tensor $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i j}$ in a helical wire metamaterial reads as

$$
K_{i j}\left(k_{0} ; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon_{h}\left(\delta_{i j}-\tau_{i}(z) \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}-v^{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau}(z) \widehat{\boldsymbol{k}})^{2}} \tau_{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \delta\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right),(16)
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{\tau}(z)=(\sin \alpha \sin q z, \sin \alpha \cos q z, \cos \alpha), \omega_{0}=\varepsilon_{h}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{k}_{0}, \hat{k}_{i}=-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$. (17)

The Maxwell equations in a dispersive medium take the form

We can get rid of nonlocality in the Maxwell equations with the permittivity tensor $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i j}$ by introducing the additional scalar field $\Psi$ obeying certain boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{rot}_{i j}^{2}-k_{0}^{2} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i j}\right) A_{j}=0 . \quad \sim \quad\left(\omega_{0}^{2}-v^{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau}(z) \widehat{\boldsymbol{k}})^{2}\right) \Psi+\omega_{0} \omega_{p}(\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{A})=0, ~=~\left(\omega_{0}^{2}-\operatorname{rot}^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{A}+\omega_{0} \omega_{p} \Psi \boldsymbol{\tau}=0 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## An electrodynamic example: helical wired metamaterial

Scattering problem setup
Helical metamaterial slab


Boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\boldsymbol{A}_{\perp}\right]_{z=0}=\left[\boldsymbol{A}_{\perp}\right]_{z=L}=0, \quad\left[r o t \boldsymbol{A}_{\perp}\right]_{z=0}=\left[r o t \boldsymbol{A}_{\perp}\right]_{z=L}=0, \quad \Psi_{z=0}=\Psi_{z=L}=0 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## An electrodynamic example: helical wired metamaterial

The system of Maxwell's equations reduces to the matrix Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial_{z} g_{i j}(z) \partial_{z}+V_{i j}(z)\right] u_{j}(z)=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$g_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v \cos \alpha\end{array}\right]$,

$$
V_{i j}(z)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega_{0}^{2} & 0 & \frac{\omega_{p}}{\sqrt{2}} \omega_{0} \sin \alpha e^{i q z}  \tag{21}\\
0 & \omega_{0}^{2} & \frac{\omega_{p}}{\sqrt{2}} \omega_{0} \sin \alpha e^{-i q z} \\
\frac{\omega_{p}}{\sqrt{2}} \omega_{0} \sin \alpha e^{-i q z} & \frac{\omega_{p}}{\sqrt{2}} \omega_{0} \sin \alpha e^{i q z} & \omega_{0}^{2}-\omega_{p}^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
u_{j}(z)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{+} \\
a_{\tilde{\prime}} \\
\tilde{\Psi}
\end{array}\right]:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{1}+i A_{2} \\
A_{1}-i A_{2} \\
\sqrt{2} \Psi
\end{array}\right],
$$

This system of equations turns out to be exactly solvable

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{ \pm}=-\frac{\omega_{p} \omega_{0} \sin \alpha}{\sqrt{2}\left(\omega_{0}^{2}-\left(k_{3} \pm q\right)^{2}\right.} e^{i\left(k_{3} \pm q\right) z}, \quad \widetilde{\Psi}=e^{i k_{3} z} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the momentum $k_{3}$ is found from the solution of the dispersion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}^{2} \omega_{p}^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\left(\omega_{0}^{2}-q^{2}-k_{3}^{2}\right)-\left(\omega_{0}^{2}-\left(k_{3}+q\right)^{2}\right)\left(\omega_{0}^{2}-\left(k_{3}-q\right)^{2}\right)\left(\omega_{0}^{2}-\left(\omega_{p}^{2}+v^{2} k_{3}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \alpha\right)=0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Unitarity relation holds! } \\
& \left|{\mid r r_{1}}^{2}+\left|r_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|t_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|t_{2}\right|^{2}=1 .\right. \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$
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