Large solitons flattened by small quantum corrections

Eduard Kim¹, Emin Nugaev², Yakov Shnir^{3,4,5}

¹ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

² Institute for Nuclear Research

³Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

⁴Hanse-Wissenschaftskolleg

⁵University Oldenburg

based on e-Print: 2405.09262

Quarks-2024 seminar, 24 May 2024, Pereslavl

Outline

Introduction

- Q-balls
- FLS solitons
- 2 UV-completed FLS
- 3 Coleman-Weinberg potential
- Quantum corrected Q-balls



Q-balls

Q-ball is a single-field non-topological soliton, with standard ansatz $\phi(t, \vec{x}) = e^{-i\omega t} f(\vec{x}).$



In order for Q-balls to exist, it is necessary that the potential satisfy the relation

$$V''(0) > \min_{\phi} \left[2V(|\phi|)/|\phi|^2 \right].$$
 (1)

The hint of Q-ball's existence can be seen from the stability analysis of the condensate

$$V''(f) - rac{V'(f)}{f} < 0.$$
 (2)

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Figure 1: An illustration of typical Q-ball profile.

A simplest choice of the potential would be the renormalizable non-linear quartic self-interaction, which supplements the mass term, i.e.

$$V(|\phi|) = m^2 |\phi|^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4} |\phi|^4.$$
(3)

- Negatively defined energy functional;
- Does not support any stable classical solutions.

However, classical Q-balls may exist in a model with a single complex scalar field and a suitable nonrenormalizable self-interaction potential.

FLS solitons

Friedberg-Lee-Sirlin (FLS) model (1976) provides non-topological solitons in (3 + 1) dimensions. No mass parameters in (3 + 1).

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_{\mu}\phi^*\partial^{\mu}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\chi\partial^{\mu}\chi - V(|\phi|,\chi),$$

$$V(|\phi|,\chi) = h^2 |\phi|^2 \chi^2 + \frac{\varkappa^2}{2} (\chi^2 - v^2)^2$$
(4)

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト

Symmetries: global U(1) for complex field ϕ , $\phi \to e^{i\alpha}\phi$, discrete \mathcal{Z}_2 for real χ , $\chi \to -\chi$.

- FLS model as a QFT;
- Quantum corrections (mass hierarchy);
- EFT for Q-balls.

The general renormalizable UV-completed FLS model Lagrangian has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_{\mu}\phi^{*}\partial^{\mu}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\chi\partial^{\mu}\chi - V(|\phi|,\chi),$$

$$V(|\phi|,\chi) = m_{\phi}^{2}|\phi|^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}|\phi|^{4} + h^{2}|\phi|^{2}\chi^{2} + \frac{\varkappa^{2}}{2}(\chi^{2} - v^{2})^{2}.$$
(5)

For two-field theories, condensate stability condition (2) should be modified as

$$V_{\chi\chi}''(f,\chi) \left[V_{ff}''(f,\chi) - \frac{1}{f} V_f'(f,\chi) \right] + \left[V_{f\chi}''(f,\chi) \right]^2 < 0.$$
 (6)

э

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

It is convenient to introduce the potential

$$U(|\phi|) = \omega^2 |\phi|^2 - V_{eff}(|\phi|), \tag{7}$$

(8)

where

$$V_{eff}(|\phi|) = V(|\phi|, \chi) \Big|_{rac{\partial V(|\phi|, \chi)}{\partial \chi} = 0}.$$



Figure 2: Mechanical potential $U(|\phi|)$.



Mechanical effective potential for the UV-complete FLS model

Figure 3: The mechanical potential $U(|\phi|)$ of the model (5) plotted for different values of parameters ω . The couplings of the model are set to h = 1, $\varkappa = 1$ and $\lambda = 0.1$, while the nondimensionalization of the Lagrangian (5) is done by the substraction of the v^4 multiplier. Without loss of generality, we set v = 1. The black dot represents a condensate.

.

As can be seen from Eq.(7), the quartic self-interaction λ -term induces a lower bound of parameter ω (see Fig.3)

$$\omega_{-} = v(\varkappa^{2}\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}, ext{ while } \lambda \leq \frac{h^{4}}{4\varkappa^{2}}.$$
 (9)

At $\omega = \omega_{-}$, the only possible initial value of $|\phi|$ is

$$|\phi|_{in} = C = \frac{\omega_-}{\sqrt{\lambda}},\tag{10}$$

which also provides a local extremum, so that U(C) = 0 and U'(C) = 0. Thus, a $\phi = Ce^{-i\omega_{-}t}$ is a homogeneous solution that represents a condensate. We start by shifting fields by their classical values

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^{(*)} &= \hat{\phi}^{(*)} + \phi_{q}^{(*)}, \\
\chi &= \hat{\chi} + \chi_{q}.
\end{aligned}$$
(11)

Now, let us introduce the mass matrix W as $W_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 V(\varphi)}{\partial \varphi_{q,i} \partial \varphi_{q,j}}$ for a theory with a set of $\{\varphi_i\}$ fields.

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\phi}^2 + \lambda |\hat{\phi}|^2 + h^2 \hat{\chi}^2 & 2h^2 \hat{\phi} \hat{\chi} \\ 2h^2 \hat{\phi}^* \hat{\chi} & 2h^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \varkappa^2 (6\hat{\chi}^2 - 2\nu^2) \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

We assume the following mass hierarchy: $W_{\phi^*\phi} \ll W_{\chi\chi}$. In this case, we set the mass matrix W's power counting by assuming that couplings $h \sim z$ and $\varkappa \sim 1$, while $z \ll 1$.

In the leading order of perturbations, $\phi_q^{(*)} = 0$ and χ_q is integrated-out as

$$V_{1-loop} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left[W_{\chi\chi}^2 \left(\log \frac{W_{\chi\chi}}{\mu_H^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \right], \tag{13}$$

where μ_H is an energy scale of order $\mu_H^2 \sim W_{\chi\chi}$, and the 1-loop contribution is determined specifically at non-negative $W_{\chi\chi}$.

$$V_{CW}(|\hat{\phi}|,\hat{\chi}) = h^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 \hat{\chi}^2 + \frac{\varkappa^2}{2} (\hat{\chi}^2 - v^2)^2 + V_{1-loop}$$
(14)



Figure 4: The 1-loop Coleman-Weinberg potential profile of the UV-completed FLS model. The parameters of the model are set to h = 0.01 and $\varkappa = 1$.

Firstly, we integrate-out heavy field χ on the classical level by using equations of motion

$$\frac{\partial V_{CW}(|\hat{\phi}|,\hat{\chi})}{\partial \hat{\chi}} = 0.$$
(15)

The effective potential is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} V_{eff}(|\hat{\phi}|) &= \left[m_L^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 - \frac{\lambda_L}{2} |\hat{\phi}|^4 + \hat{\Lambda}_L \right] \Theta \left(\frac{m_L}{\sqrt{\lambda_L}} - |\hat{\phi}| \right) + \\ &+ \left[-m_R^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{2} |\hat{\phi}|^4 + \hat{\Lambda}_R \right] \Theta \left(|\hat{\phi}| - \frac{m_L}{\sqrt{\lambda_L}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\hat{\Lambda}_{L,R}$ is a constant shift, and $\Theta(x)$ is a Heaviside step function.



Figure 5: The effective potential $V_{CW}(|\phi|^2)$ is shown. The parameters are set to h = 0.01 and $\varkappa = 1$.

After constructing an effective potential, we use redefined Eq.(7)

$$U(|\hat{\phi}|) = \omega^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 - V_{eff}(|\hat{\phi}|) + \hat{\Lambda}_L, \qquad (17)$$

so that U(0) = 0. Q-ball solutions possess the same type of behaviour that was shown for the UV-completed FLS model. The lower bound on parameter ω is

$$\omega_{-}^{2} = \sqrt{2\lambda_{R}(\hat{\Lambda}_{R} - \hat{\Lambda}_{L}) - m_{R}^{2}}.$$
(18)

In the limit $\omega \to \omega_-$, the complex field ϕ solution profile resembles a thin-wall regime solution, and then finally settles at the condensate solution

$$\hat{\phi} = C e^{-i\omega_{-}t}, \text{ where } C = \sqrt{\frac{2(\hat{\Lambda}_{R} - \hat{\Lambda}_{L})}{\lambda_{R}}}.$$
 (19)



Figure 6: The comparison of the initial values f(0) (presented as color-filled dots on the plot) of the Q-ball solutions for the classical/quantum corrected FLS models effective potential at h = 0.01, $\varkappa = 1$ and $\omega = 0.8$.



Figure 7: The Q-ball energy $\frac{E}{v}$ (regularized by subtracting $\hat{\Lambda}_L$ from the effective potential to set $V_{eff}(0) = 0$) is plotted as a function of U(1) charge Q for theory with effective potential. Parameters are set to h = 0.01 and $\varkappa = 1$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- UV-completion of the FLS model;
- Thin-wall approximation;
- Coleman-Weinberg mechanism induces a new mass scale ω_- .

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!

This work was supported by the grant RSF 22-12-00215