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## Local Potts model

Classic Potts model
$H=\sum_{i, k \in M} J_{i k} \delta\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{k}\right)$
$J_{i k}$ - interaction, $\sigma \in S, S=\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$
Defined on the regular lattice
Common problems
(1) Finding the vacuum state of the system
(2) Calculating partition function $Z=\sum_{\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}} e^{-\beta H}$


Figure 1: Typical regular lattices

## Nonlocal Potts model

> Defined on a random lattice
> $H=\sum_{i, k \in M} J_{i k} \delta\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{k}\right)$,
> $J_{i k}= \begin{cases}J, & R-\frac{\delta}{2} \leq|i-k| \leq R+\frac{\delta}{2} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}$ $\delta \ll R$.


Figure 2: Schematic representation of the model on 2d random lattice

The number of neighbors (in the context of chosen metric)

- Regular lattice - the same for any spin, pretty small, depends on the geometry of the lattice
- Random lattice - obeys Gaussian distribution not bounded


## Physical cases

- Interaction with closest neighbors (local)
$\rightarrow$ Ising model
$\rightarrow$ Potts model
$\rightarrow Z_{N}$ model
- Interaction with all particles (massless field)
$\rightarrow$ Gravitational interaction
$\rightarrow$ Electromagnetic interaction
- Interaction at finite distance
$\rightarrow$ network models
$\rightarrow$ chromatic clusters in images of real objects
$\rightarrow$ problems of combinatorial topology


## Problems of combinatorial topology

## Erdos-Hadwiger-Nelson (EHN)

Figure 3: $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ problem
What is the minimal number of
colors $q$ one must use to color the $\mathrm{R}^{d}$ space in such a way that no two points at unit distance are colored identically?


Figure 4: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ : Moser's spindle and 7-color hexagon tiling wikipedia.org

Solutions

- $\mathrm{R}^{1}: q=2$
- $\mathrm{R}^{2}: 5 \leqslant q \leqslant 7$
- $\mathrm{R}^{3}: 6 \leqslant q \leqslant 15$

Figure 5: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ : The unit-distance
graph, $q=5$
arXiv:1804.02385

## Setting up the problem

## Hamiltonian

$H=A \sum_{x, y} J_{x y} \delta_{i(x) i(y)}$,
$A$ - normalization factor, $A=1$
The goal
Using supercomputer simulations find minima of $H$ and corresponding vacuum configurations for $q$ in range $[2,7]$ for two dimentional random lattice, starting from random configuration.

Vacuum states of such models have not been investigated before.


Figure 6: The schematic picture of energy zones.

## Neighbors distributions

$\langle n\rangle=2 \pi R \delta \frac{N}{L^{2}}$ - average number of neighbors.



Figure 7: $L=20, q=4, N=159155, \delta=0.02$. Left: distribution of number of neighbors, $\langle n\rangle=50$; right: distribution of number of neighbors which have the least represented color.

## Minimization algorithm (simulated annealing)

## Steps

(1) Generate random configuration
(2) Calculate its energy
(3) Choose temperature reduction function, define $T_{i n i}$ and $T_{\text {fin }}$
(4) Choose random order of picking up particles using Fisher-Yates shuffle algorithm
5 For each particle randomly pick new color. If the energy decreased or stayed unchanged then accept new configuration. In the case the energy increased configuration is accepted with probability $P=e^{-\frac{E^{\prime}-E}{T}}$
(6) Reduce temperature
(7) Repeat steps starting from 4, while $T>T_{\text {fin }}$.

## An illustration of evolution of the system for $q=6$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle n\rangle=50 \\
N=159155 \\
N_{m}=128675 \\
R=1.00 \\
\delta=0.02 \\
q=6 \\
\text { Offset }=4.5 \\
\text { Area }=121.0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
T=10.0 \\
E_{\text {total }}=401844.0 \\
E_{\text {ins }}=178801.0 \\
E_{\text {out }}=44242.0
\end{gathered}
$$

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.165 |  |
| 0.169 |  |
| 0.166 |  |
| 0.167 |  |
| 0.166 |  |
| 0.167 | $\square$ |
|  | $\square$ |

## Examples of configurations with minimal energies



Figure 8: Top row: $q=2,3,4$; bottom row: $q=5,6,7$

## Comparison of energy distributions



Figure 9: Top: $q=5$ and $q=6$; bottom: $q=6$ and $q=7$. On the base of 200 configurations for each color. The number of configurations with zero energy is 0 for $q=5,1$ for $q=6,170$ for $q=7$.

## Color symmetry breaking (static)



Figure 10: The ratio of number of particles with least number of particles to the whole number of particles inside particular area. Top: $q=5$, bottom: $q=3$.

## Color symmetry breaking (static): summary



Figure 11: The ratio of least represented color to whole number of particles scaled by $q$ for $L=20, N=159155$

## Color symmetry breaking (dynamic)



Figure 12: The dynamic of changing in ratio of least represented color to whole number of particles scaled by $q$ in the process of minimization. Top: $q=6$, bottom: $q=5$.

## Color symmetry breaking (dynamic): summary



Figure 13: The dynamic of changing in ratio of least represented color to whole number of particles scaled by $q$ in the process of minimization

## Conclusion

- The simulated annealing algorithm for finding ground states of nonlocal Potts model is devised and implemented
- The result was improved sufficiently comparing to greedy algorithm.
- For $q=5$ no state with zero energy was found
- The study of vacuum energy for $q=6$ requires additional analysis.
- The breaking of color symmetry emerges for ground states and it is the most prominent for $q=5$

Thank you for attention！

